Up in the Air with VR360

Delas Santano
Centre for Research-Creation in Digital Media
School of Arts, Sunway University, Malaysia

Zi Siang See
School of Creative Industries,
University of Newcastle, Australia

Chi How Fong
Faculty of Creative Industries
Universiti of Tunku Abdul Rahman Selangor, Malaysia

Harold Thwaites
Centre for Research-Creation in Digital Media
School of Arts, Sunway University, Malaysia

Abstract

Drones and 360-degree video (VR 360)has been an attractive topic for the past years. 360-degree videos has hit the internet fame as soon as Youtube and Facebook started to adopt them into their ecosystem, which made users now familiarized and intrigued. This definitely made the camera industry races to democratize the 360-degree cameras, and now we are graced with the affordable, out-of-the-box 360 cameras from Samsung, Ricoh, Garmin, to Nikon. Meanwhile, DJI has been the leading drone manufacturer since their first quadcopter that really captivates the mind of our imagination and also our knack in playing remote control toys in our young age. With this adventurous and imaginative mindset, we embarked on combining the two. 360-degree and aerial drone. In this article we presents a development of an aerial virtual reality 360 video capture approach. A drone was retrofitted with a 360 spherical panorama camera for acquiring source aerial visual content. In this case study, an experiment of a 360-fly-by video was reproduced for the intended use of an event launching where user perception was being observed in terms of practicality and suitability, which will be presented in the article. A user study was conducted to

gauge the usability for comparing aerial VR360 being experienced on hand-held multimedia tablets and head-mount-devices (HMD). We also describe the proposed configuration and workflow of aerial 360-video and identifying its potential capabilities and limitation, a user evaluation study and directions for future work. In discussion section, we shared on how this setup can affect the decisions of the producer, director or the director photography in achieving the creative shot that they aim to produce.

Keywords—VR360, aerial, spherical panorama, user experience study, head mount devices, mobile applications, virtual reality

I. INTRODUCTION

Aerial Drones have been a topic that has ignited research since DJI came into the field with its consumer level drone back in 2013. Today, DJI drones are equipped with a Full HD camera and a gimbal that acts as a stabilizer for the camera in capturing visual data. Meanwhile, 360-degree video has reached the consumer as far as 2014 with Ricoh Theta, to name a few. Currently, Samsung's 360-degree camera called the Samsung Gear 360 has helped propel 360-degree video production into consumers' hands. It is no longer available just for the professional 360-degree video with their omni-cameras' rig. Just as flying and capturing visual data with a normal camera that comes equipped with the drone, movements of the drone will affect the way a visual data is captured. Drone movements such as taking off, landing, flying forward or reverse and flying sideways created a different result to the visual data.

The focus of this article presents the analysis of the visual data in correlation to the drone movements, as part of its technical contributions. Numerous articles has attempted on the setup for Aerial VR360 but it focuses only on the setup of the drone and the camera (Ty 2016). Post-production workflow for 360-degree footage from 360 camera used and modified on the drone is discussed in depth and also delivery of the 360 video to the audience. The project is supported with a pilot user study to understand the ease-of-use and usefulness of aerial 360 video be experienced in mobile and head-mount-device settings.

A detail discussion on the Samsung Gear 360 footage quality will be presented as well that covers from bitrate, frame rate, dynamic range tests, file compression and stitching software via the Samsung software and a third party software. The findings in this article will also discuss the future

implementation of aerial 360-degree video production with planned camera/drone movement for a beneficial results and better quality. Due to the Samsung 360 camera limitation, artefacts in the footage will also be presented to address a proposed solution.

II. BACKGROUND

Our research builds on previous work related to aerial image and 360 content reproduction. Previous work has shown research interest in aerial 360 user experience (Okura et. al 2016: 255) and spherical panorama 360 reproduction (Felinto et.al 2012: 1). In fact, spherical panorama has been studied in a number of different technical and use cases context (Samsung Official Website 2017) (Karađuzović-Hadžiabdić et.al 2017: 1) (Koeva et.al 2017: 4). Preliminary study has revealed numerous aerial videos and photos has burst into the area of aerial drone with the recent advancement of aerial drone by one of the main industry producer DJI. Their drones are reliable and has gone through massive upgrade in their camera and gimbal and its affordability. However aerial 360 is very minimal in terms of creation and few that has done them are not documented in terms of their capabilities and limitations hence it became one of the aim of the research article.
The main contribution of our work is creating customize aerial 360-degree video solution which can be easily adapted in virtual reality 360 user experience, and presenting what was the limitation with the setup and how it affected the end result of the aerial 360 video.
Manufacturers of 360-degree cameras can now be found anywhere. From Ricoh Theta, Insta 360 to Samsung Gear 360. All of these cameras are consumer level cameras that can be found anywhere for a very affordable price. These cameras are designed with dual fisheye lenses that is placed in opposite direction. The camera will then record simultaneously and resulted in a side by side footage of two lenses as per Figure 1.

Figure 1: Dual lens raw footage from Samsung Gear 360 Camera

The Samsung Gear 360 was used for this research as it provided the ease of use, affordability and reliability of the cameras regardless of its consumer level. The Samsung Gear 360 recorded with an average bitrate of 28mbps to 30mbps, which is very good considering that some DSLR cameras records at 60mbps bitrate with an option of 25mbps bitrate on certain codecs. The camera only records with a frame rate of 30fps and a resolution of 3840x1920.

Samsung provides their own stitching software for owners of the camera. This is another reason why Samsung Gear 360 was chosen for this research with the stability of the stitching software compared with other brands.

Producing VR360 has been quite challenging as shared by other content producers. With the fact that the camera sees everything, it is likened to producing for a theatre play, such considerations ranging from where the camera is placed and record-run mode. This has affected the production of such content for live stream or scripted narrative (Morgenstern 2016). With that in mind, producing an aerial VR360 will effect on how much the shot can be directed to achieve the vision of the story.

III. APPROACH

This research article divides the approach to create the aerial 360 video content into two parts, production and post production. Production will cover the part of preparing the camera and

retrofitting it to the drone. Whilst post production covers the part of stitching the footage and analyzing the result of such setup to capture aerial 360-degree video.

In terms of creative applications of such aerial VR360 content, is usable in a number of practical scenarios. To illustrate, the outcome of the experimental project we demonstrated was being used in an official event launching which involved a number of guest and patrons. At the time of the event, the majority of the guests in the main event sections were provided with a basic phone-enabled headset (equivalent to plastic version of Google Cardboard). The guests were given instruction by a demonstrator of how they could activate and view the given aerial VR360 content by fitting their personal mobile phone into the headset. Most of the users managed to follow the process, but a small number of users who are new to VR experience were unable to gain a positive experience out of it. Finally, one of the key users who was the main guest of the event was able to cast the VR360 content on a projector screen of the event as a gesture of launching. What we learned was that the real-world user experience of this outcome being used in an event was lacked of consistency - one of the ways to improve this is to have all guests to use the organizer-provided devices and ideally headsets should be pre-loaded with VR360 to avoid any internet data connection issues.

A. Production

This research chose the Samsung Gear 360 camera as the camera is reliable with its stitching software and its ease of use due to is consumer 360 camera level in the market. Its size is also small thus mounting it on the drone did not created a weight problem that could affect the drone's flying ability. The Samsung Gear 360 camera has been tested on numerous on-ground shoot and gave good results considering it is a consumer level camera as can be seen in per Figure 2, 3 and 4. Stitching done by the Samsung software is also good, matches the two footage of each lenses as per Figure 6. Though the downside is the stitching software is only available in Windows, for the model we used which was the 2016 version, a Samsung S7 and above is needed to pair it with the camera (Orellana 2016).

Figure 2: Samsung Gear 360 Camera to be mounted.

Figure 3: Retrofitted DJI Inspire with Samsung Gear 360.

Figure 4: Pre-flight check before taking off.

The DJI Inspire drone is ideal for pairing with the 360 camera, as the gear of the drone will lift up during flight thus not obstructing the view as per Figure 3. The aim of the aerial 360 video was to give a sense of flight for audience as if they are transported from one point to another by the aerial drone. With this in mind, the 360-degree view of what's below is crucial than the upper view which would be just blue skies and clouds. Retrofitting the 360 camera on a DJI Phantom drone created a take-off and landing challenge as it needs to be held by a person above their head due to its fixed landing gear.

The camera was mounted where the drone's camera is placed. With this, the pilot was flying relying only on line of sight of the drone, and the GPS positioning of the drone that was viewable on the monitor, as per Figure 5.



Figure 5: Line of Sight Flying.

Figure 6: Stitched image from the Samsung Gear 360.

The drone's flight movement was standard manouvre of a basic fly over the point of interests, taking off and landing. Height of the drone is also capped at 100 metres to maintain light of sight with the pilot. The challenge on this 360-degree video is not being able to see the results until it has been stitched (Sarconi 2017).

B. **Post Production**

Stitching the footage with Samsung Action Director is straightforward. The software is downloadable for owners of the Samsung Gear 360 camera. Auto stitch is performed automatically upon importing the footage into the software. The stitched footage is then exported (produced) into an AVC or H265 codec, which then can later be imported into Premiere Pro for more editing and fine tuning.

Stitching via Kolor Autopano Video Pro is possible although with the use of a custom template of panorama stitching, as the Kolor software only has presets for GoPro Cameras setup though the software does offer more stitching capability that user can adjust accordingly, unlike the Samsung Action Director that auto stitches the footages.

In analyzing the footage, we found that movement of the drone affected the 360 video shots as per Figure 7. As per our earlier research on Aerial Drone Videography with the Go Pro cameras, it had the same problems with this custom Aerial 360 Video. When conducting a take-off and landing shots, the drone was receiving wind pressure (inertia) that shakes the drone along with the camera. If using the current available gimbal and 2D camera on the DJI Inspire, this do

not cause an issue with the footage as the camera is very stable. When accomplishing a fly through movement with the 360 setup, there seems to be an abrupt footage when the drone stops.

These problems might not be an issue if it happens in normal 2D videos, but when this occurred in 360 video it could result in a shaky footage and lead to nausea when viewed on a head-mounted device.

Figure 7: Sample of aerial 360 videos with movement shakes (QR Code scannable for viewing the actual video).

These two issues could be solved by a motorized gimbal for the 360 camera that will balance the camera all the time. Though such solution is not available at the time of this writing.

Beyond this, another artifact in the footage was the appearance of the drone's propeller shadows as per Figure 8. This is caused by the position of the sun in relation to the drone and camera, and this problem also arises when shooting 2D video with the Aerial Drone. Though this could be solved with ND (Neutral Density) Filters attached to the camera lenses, this Samsung Gear 360 does not allow the use of ND filter on it not to mention that no such ND Filter is produced for this camera.

Figure 8: Sample of aerial 360 videos propeller shadows (QR Code scannable for viewing the actual video).

The final result of the prototype in the form of 360 video have been used for a corporate event launch as an experimental use case as shown in Figure 9, 10 and 11.

Figure 9: Aerial VR360 viewed during the event launch.

Figure 10: Aerial VR360 viewed during the event launch.

Figure 11: Aerial 360 Video on YouTube (QR Code scannable for viewing the actual video).

IV. USER EXPERIENCE STUDY

A pilot study was conducted to evaluate our prototype in conjunction with a corporate event launch using the 360 content we produced. We collected feedback from a set of 16 visitors, 8 females, and 7 males ranging in age between 18 to 62 years old. The aim was to measure the

usefulness of the aerial 360 video in mobile tablet and head-mount-device configurations. The subjects were allowed to move freely and experience the aerial 360 content with the assistance of an experimenter. The experiment conductor explained the processes and provided a complete demonstration. Each participant completed the tasks in about 3 minutes. We used a within-subject experimental design. Participants were requested to experience the content twice in two conditions in random sequence. The two conditions involved using a 10.1 inches Android tablet (Samsung manufactured Tab 2 GT-P5100), and also experiencing the HMD configuration shown in figure 12 and 13 (using mobile computing device of Samsung S7 Edge G935FD [7]). After running the trials we got participant feedback on how easy it was to use the system. This was done by collecting qualitative feedback in response to the questions shown in table 1. Answers were captured on a Likert scale of 1 to 7 in which 1 was "strongly disagree" and 7 "strongly agree". Our key interest was to understand the perceived ease-of-use and usefulness of the prototype by the participants, and how they described their experience with our system.

Figure 12: Aerial 360 video with mobile tablet and HMD user experience.

Figure 13: Aerial 360 video with mobile tablet and HMD user experience.

Table 1: Survey questions

Q1	I found it easy to use
Q2	I found it natural to use
Q3	I found it useful
Q4	I found it physically challenging
Q5	I found it mentally challenging
Q6	I found the content immersive

Figure 10 shows the average results of the condition 1 using mobile tablet (C1) and condition 2 using HMD (C2) survey questions. A Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test was used to analyze the results to check for significant difference between the results of the using visual (C1) and non-visual interfaces (C2).

Q1, using a two-tailed test we found that participants felt that the mobile tablet device is significant easier to use than the HMD, $Z = -1.48$, $p = 0.14$. For Q2, finding the interface natural to use, there was a nearly significant difference between C1 and C2, with $Z = -0.84$, $p = 0.4$. There was significant difference between conditions in terms of how reliable participants felt each condition was (Q3), $Z = -1.22$, $p = 0.22$ HMD are more useful than mobile tablet. In terms of the physical challenge, participants felt that C2 are significant in physically challenging (Q4) than the visual condition (C1), $Z = -1.06$, $p = 0.29$. Next, C2 was felt to be more mentally challenging (Q5) than C1, $Z = -1.21$, $p = 0.22$. Finally, C2 was viewed as being more immersive (Q6) than C1, $Z = -2.93$ $p = 0$ Overall, these results show that the HMD (C2) are better than mobile table (C1). The results are shown in figure 14.



Figure 14: Results comparing two conditions (mobile tablet and HMD)

In addition to the survey, we asked participants for their comments on the system usability. Users said they liked how the "I enjoy the 360 content where I can look around freely.. ", "..very interactive..", "can I learn how to create these content?", and "..really created an adventurous experience.".

However users also felt that "..It will be important if we are able to have clearer image quality...", "this looks unique but I think I need some time to get used to it", "I feel dizzy when I view the content for too long (for HMD)" and "Since i wear specs i had a bit of difficulty putting it on (for HMD)". About 43.75% of the users experience some level of dizziness when using HMD, and none had such difficulty when using a mobile tablet.

When asked about their AR/VR experience on a Likert scale of 1 to 7, where 1 = not very much, and 7 = very much, the average score was 3.25. Some participants were new to VR360 and were not sure how to operate it consistently. During each trial, we observed that the strength of the internet connection affected the stability of the video streaming. Some users expressed that were interested in trying the content in their own mobile devices and asked if there is any accessible control or navigation available to them in HMD mode.

Participants also provided the several ideas for improvements:

i. Being able to choose between online or offline application.

ii. Content-provider devices should be preloaded with content.

iii. A distributable link can be provided for sharing purposes.

iv. High-speed internet is provided by the event organizer for improved user experience.

V. Discussions

After the completion of production, a gimbal is required to maintain the 360 camera stability. The gimbal will balance the camera and preventing it from tilting over. As in the case of 360 video, the horizon of in the shot has to be maintained during the shoot. A 360 camera that has a feature of a built-in ND filter would be good to avoid having the drone's propeller shadows in the shots on some certain angles.
A professional capability camera would be a great upgrade for the 360 aerial data capture, such as the Nokio Ozo, Insta360 Pro. Though these cameras weight is significantly more than the small Samsung 360 camera, which means a bigger drone is required to carry them such as the DJI M600.

In terms of obstacles and issues, we seek for further improvement of the current workflow. The limitation we faced was that with such configuration, we do not gain much control of the dynamic range and exposure of the 360 videos. In additional, some parts of the videos were not usable due to wind-shake and this brings uncomfortable and nauseatic experience to some testing users. Such experience is likely due to the uneasiness of the disturbed locomotion sickness in VR environment.

A number of obstacles and issues identified:

- Limited dynamic range for video
- Some parts of the footages were unusable due to wind-shake
- Fly-blind which may be against safety practice and regulation
- The retro-fitted 360 camera can damage easily

The advantage of current setting:

- Easily replicable by other operators/projects
- Practical VR360 representation of aerial experience
- Result if conveniently adaptable to a number of social media platforms
- Distributable 360 content, usable in various tourism or non-technical use cases

We seek for improvement of workflow:

- A higher dynamic range 360 camera or modified software for gaining control

- A 360 camera configuration which has a stabilizer
- A way to have both in-flight camera for pilot and 360 camera
- An alternative way to mount 360 camera (extension weighted arm)

With the setup that we did, we were basically substituting the drone's camera mount to the 360 camera. That basically disable the pilot to operate the drone via the drone's camera view as it is relayed to the iPad with the controller. During that time, the pilot was basically operating drone based only on line of sight, which has it challenges. Therefore it is best to mount the 360 camera to the drone without removing the drone's camera, but this also has some affect to how the 360 camera mount with the drone's camera being visible in the shot and also the landing gear of the drone.

This aerial VR360 setup is an interesting option for a content creator such as the director, producer or the director of photography, to produce 360 videos. With aerial drone, the drone operator is most of the time are the director of photography though much of the considerations are in the aspect of motion in the shots followed by the composition. Motion in Aerial VR360 is challenging in the sense of how it affects the audience, when they view the VR360 in the HMD.

For future study, we will also explore other solutions for improving the experience of the virtual reality 360 and hybrid approaches that combine 3D and image-based spherical panorama videos.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research project was conducted at the Centre for Research-Creation in Digital Media, School of Arts at Sunway University.

REFERENCES

[1] Ty, M (2016), '3 Examples Setups for 360 Aerial Videos with 360 Cameras', http://360rumors.com/2016/06/3-examples-setups-for-360-aerial-videos.html. Accessed 10 July 2017.

[2] Okura, F., Kanbara, M., & Yokoya, N. (2014), 'Aerial Full Spherical HDR Imaging and Display', *Virtual Reality*, 18:4, pp. 255-269.

[3] Felinto, D., Zang, A. R., & Velho, L. (2012), 'Production framework for full panoramic scenes with photorealistic augmented reality', 2012 XXXVIII Conferencia Latinoamericana En Informatica (CLEI), Medellin, 2012, pp. 1-10.

[3] O'Kane, S. (2016), 'Samsung's Gear 360 camera looks like an eyeball and shoots 360-degree video'. https://www.theverge.com/2016/2/21/11081450/samsung-gear-360-camera-video-specs-mwc-2016. Accessed 21 February 2016. Accessed 10 July 2017.

[4] Morgenstern, M. (2016), 'A Step-By-Step Guide to Creating 360° VR, from the Makers of the 1 Trillion Pixel Cat Video'. https://nofilmschool.com/2016/03/360-degree-video-cat-cafe. Accessed 10 July 2017.

[5] Orellana, V.H. (2016), '10 things I wish I knew before shooting 360 video'. Available: https://www.cnet.com/how-to/360-cameras-comparison-video-things-to-know-before-you-buy. Accessed 31 May 2017

[6] Sarconi, P. (2017), .How to shoot a 360 video'. https://www.wired.com/2017/02/shoot-360-video. Accessed 24 February 2017.

[7] Samsung Official Website (2017), 'Home Page', www.samsung.com/us/mobile/galaxy. Accessed 15 March 2017.

[8] Karađuzović-Hadžiabdić, K., Telalović, J. H., & Mantiuk, R. K. (2017), 'Assessment of multi-exposure HDR image deghosting methods', *Computers & Graphics*, 63, pp. 1-17, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cag.2017.01.002. Accessed 15 August 2017.

[9] Kent, B. R. (2017), 'Spherical Panoramas for Astrophysical Data Visualization', *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific 129*, http://iopscience.iop.org/1538-3873/129/975/058001. Accessed 15 August 2017.

[10] Koeva, M., Luleva, M., and Maldjanski, P. (2017), 'Integrating Spherical Panoramas and Maps for Visualization of Cultural Heritage Objects Using Virtual Reality Technology', *Sensors*, 17:4, 829, https://doi.org/10.3390/s17040829. Accessed 15 August 2017.

CONTRIBUTOR DETAILS

Delas is the Audiovisual Producer in the Centre for Research-Creation in Digital Media, School of Arts, Sunway University. Recently, he has been involved in the 2 Transmedia and Polysensory Exhibition in University Malaya, namely the Mah Meri Unmasked and the Textile Tales of Pua Kumbu. His short documentary on the Traditional Boatbuilder of Pangkor Island,which was produced in 2016, was screened and nominated in the Best Documentary in the Canada-China Film Festival 2016 held in Montreal. Aside from his role in CRCDM, he is also involved in teaching in the Digital Film Production Programme and the MA in Visual Communication and Media Studies in the School of Arts, Sunway University.

He has extensive experience in video content production, design and video editing. In addition, Delas has a great deal of experience in teaching, research, administrative work, as well as maintaining and updating course curricula. He was also a part-time lecturer in the field of Creative Multimedia at several private colleges in Malaysia. His main research area is audiovisual production with emphasis on culture and heritage and video production combined with digital humanities.

Contact: No. 5, Jalan Universiti, Bandar Sunway, 47500 Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia.
Email: delass@sunway.edu.my, delas.santano@gmail.com
Web: http://su2crcdm.org/ , https://university.sunway.edu.my/profiles/crcdm/delas-santano

Zi Siang See's focus has been both in academic research and industrial work. This allows him to disseminate knowledge and to make contributions in the field of creative industry. He is now with SMARTlab and the University of Newcastle. Zi has developed numerous creative operations which focused on corporate communications specialization. These include collaborations with multinational corporations such as McDonald's, Lafarge Cement, Servier, Leo Burnett, DDB and Saatchi & Saatchi Arachnid. His research includes innovation management related to virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR). He specialized in conventional creative advertising and front-end interaction design. In fact, producing compelling user experience in creative technology is his main interest. He has been part of various MOOC projects and been actively involved in scholarly research while pursuing his Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) in computing and design. He participates regularly in international conferences and provides articles for publications. In 2017, he gave a workshop and presentation in TEDx as well.

Contact: School of Creative Industries, University of Newcastle, Callaghan, 2308, NSW, Australia
Tel: +61406940386

E-mail: zisiang.see@newcastle.edu.au
Email: zisiang.see@uon.edu.au
Web: https://www.newcastle.edu.au/profile/zisiang-see
Web: https://www.zisiangsee.com/demo

Chi How Fong's interest in academic research and industrial work. Fong is currently a graphic designer and specialized in corporate communications. He has interest in augmented reality and virtual reality. In addition, Chi How is passionate about learning and exploring digital visualization and would like to continue his contribution in Human Computer Interaction.

Contact: Faculty of Creative Industries, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman
Email: chihow@1utar.my

Harold Thwaites is Professor and Head of the Centre for Research Creation in Digital Media at the School of Arts at Sunway University. Originally from Canada, he was a tenured Associate Professor of the Communication Studies Department at Concordia University in Montreal for 31 years. Prof Thwaites has served as a communication consultant for private companies and government departments. His research and teaching is in: Communication and Media Studies, Digital Heritage, Cyberculture, Experiential Media Arts, Audience/user media impact, Information Design, Biocybernetic Research, Digital Media Production and Digital Humanities. He has taught over 25 different undergrad and postgraduate courses during his career and supervised 20 postgraduate students. He was the first invited overseas researcher at NHK Science and Technical Research Labs in Tokyo Japan specializing in early 3D HDTV production. Professor Thwaites served as President of the International Society on Virtual Systems and Multimedia (VSMM) 2003-2010, now currently holding the post of Honorary Lifetime President. He also sits on the editorial boards of the International Journal of Virtual Reality, the Open Journal of Virtual Reality, the Journal of Virtual Creativity, Presence Journal special issues and many programme committees of local and international conferences and other professional bodies. At Sunway University his current projects include the "handbook Project" with Concordia University in Montreal, and the CRCDM Hainan Boatbuilder of Pangkor Island, exploring the digital preservation of Malaysian cultural heritage, and museum experiences for the cultural imaginary.

He continues to share his experience and passion to innovate new projects and fields of research, with staff and students in Malaysia.

Contact: No. 5, Jalan Universiti, Bandar Sunway, 47500 Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia.
Email: haroldt@sunway.edu.my@sunway.edu.my, hal.thwaites@gmail.com
Web: http://su2crcdm.org/ , https://university.sunway.edu.my/profiles/crcdm/harold-thwaites